

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/518,551	Applicant(s) GNANASIVAM ET AL.	
	Examiner Justin I. King	Art Unit 2111	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Justin I. King (USPTO Personnel).

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Ananian (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

newly added claims 28 and 30

09/27/2004 CCURTIS 00000005 502319 09518551

01 FC:1252 420.00 DA

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

 Examiner's amendment to correct claims 28 and 30's 112 2nd issues, and authorization to charge fee for the extension of two months.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MARK H. FINCHAR
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)